

**In the United States Court of Federal Claims**  
**OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**  
**No. 21-0312V**

LAYNE DU VIVIER,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: March 13, 2025

*Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for Petitioner.*

*Debra A. Filteau Begley, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

**DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On January 8, 2021, Layne Du Vivier filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a left shoulder injury related to vaccine administration a defined Table injury, after receiving a human papillomavirus vaccine on September 6, 2020. Petition, ECF No. 1. On May 13, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 42.

---

<sup>1</sup>Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$26,687.46 (representing \$25,827.40 in fees plus \$860.06 in costs). Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed October 29, 2024. ECF No. 46. Furthermore, Petitioner filed a signed statement representing that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2.

Respondent reacted to the motion on November 14, 2024, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-4, ECF No. 48. Petitioner filed a reply requesting an award of fees and costs as indicated in the Motion. ECF No. 49.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. Petitioner requests that I endorse the hourly rate of \$385 for 2024 work performed by attorney Mateo Forero-Norena. Petitioner filed an affidavit representing that Mr. Forero-Norena has been a licensed attorney since 2016 and is admitted to practice before this Court. ECF No. 47. I find the requested rate reasonable and hereby award it herein.

However, a few of the tasks performed by attorneys in this matter are more properly billed using a paralegal rate.<sup>3</sup> "Tasks that can be completed by a paralegal or a legal assistant should not be billed at an attorney's rate." *Riggins v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. 99-382V, 2009 WL 3319818, at \*21 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. June 15, 2009). "[T]he rate at which such work is compensated turns not on who ultimately performed the task but instead turns on the nature of the task performed." *Doe/11 v. Sec'y of Health & Hum. Servs.*, No. XX-XXXXV, 2010 WL 529425, at \*9 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 29, 2010). Although these billing entries are reasonable, they must be charged at a reduced rate comparable to that of a paralegal. **Application of the foregoing reduces the amount of fees to be awarded by \$423.20.**<sup>4</sup>

Petitioner has otherwise provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 46-2. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

---

<sup>3</sup> Entries considered paralegal in nature include drafting and filing basic documents such as an exhibit list, PAR Questionnaire, notice of filing exhibit list, statement of completion, cover sheet, joint notices not to seek review, and filing medical records. See billing entries dated: 1/20/22 (three entries); 5/23/23 (two entries); 6/21/24. See ECF No. 46-1.

<sup>4</sup> This amount consists of  $(\$441 - \$177 = \$264 \times 1.20 \text{ hrs.}) + (\$358 - \$186 = \$172 \times 0.40 \text{ hrs.}) + (\$385 - \$197 = \$188 \times 0.20 \text{ hrs.}) = \$423.20$ .

## CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT in part, Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **Petitioner is awarded attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of \$26,264.26 (representing \$25,404.20 in fees plus \$860.06 in costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to Petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Brian H. Corcoran**

Brian H. Corcoran  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.